

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIPED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. |      | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO         |  |
|-----------------|------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/042,344      |      | 01/11/2002                | Jakke Makela         | 4208-4044               | 7513                    |  |
| 27123           | 7590 | 02/09/2006                |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
|                 |      | NEGAN, L.L.P.             | NAWAZ, ASAD M        |                         |                         |  |
|                 |      | CIAL CENTER<br>10281-2101 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                 | ,    |                           |                      | 2155                    |                         |  |
|                 |      |                           |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006 | DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.                          | Applicant(s)              |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/042,344                               | MAKELA ET AL.             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner                                 | Art Unit                  |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asad M. Nawaz                            | 2155                      |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  | M will not be entered or b) I u          | will be entered and an ev | valoration of |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <i>none.</i><br>Claim(s) objected to: <i>none</i> .  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to. <u>none.</u><br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-13,29-32 and 34-42</u> .   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Continuation Sheet.  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO/1449) Paper No(s)   |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | SALEH NAJJAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOLEUAISOUT LAI ÈMAININEL  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                           |               |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly presented claim 43 has been added without the canceling of any other finally rejected claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues in substance that the multi-user data is data from the same multi-user application data that is transferred to the plurality of user terminals (see attached interview summary). These limitations are not found in the claims. Claimed subject matter not the specification is the measure of the invention. Disclosure contained in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding prior art. In re Sporck, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F.2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1986); In re Self, 213 USPQ 1, 5 (CCPA 1982); In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, 15 (CCPA 1978).